CEAB Accreditation Feedback - CEAB Member

(untitled)

| | * | | | Please comment, particula if you selected partially o |
|---|-----|-----------|----|---|
| | Yes | Partially | No | no. |
| 1. Were the timelines for the accreditation process provided by CEAB clear? | O | O | О | |
| 2. Were CEAB requirements for the materials that HEIs prepare for the visiting team transparent? | O | o | 0 | |
| 3. Were the criteria used in the CEAB accreditation process transparent? | O | О | О | |
| 4. If asked, could you describe the steps in the CEAB decision-making process for accreditation status? | O | o | 0 | |

5. If you were asked, could you describe the following roles and responsibilities in the CEAB accreditation process?

| | * | | | Please comment, particularly if you selected partially or | |
|--|-----|-----------|----|---|--|
| | Yes | Partially | No | no. | |
| 5a. HEI deans or designated official | 0 | C | О | | |
| 5b. HEI program leads | O | 0 | 0 | | |
| 5c. CEAB program visitors | O | O | О | | |
| 5d. CEAB visiting team chairs | O | O | О | | |
| 5e. Regulators | 0 | O | О | | |
| 5f. Students | O | O | О | | |
| 5g. Canadian Engineering Accreditation Board (CEAB) | 0 | C | О | | |
| 5h. Engineers Canada Board | O | O | О | | |
| | | | | | |

| | Yes | Partially | No | Not Applicable |
|--|-----|-----------|----|-------------------|
| Did you feel consulted? | O | О | 0 | О |
| Did you have an opportunity to provide feedback on proposals? | 0 | О | 0 | O |
| If you provided feedback, did you feel your feedback was considered? | 0 | O | 0 | 0 |
| Were you informed when change was implemented? | 0 | O | 0 | O |
| Please comment, particularly if you selected partially or | r | | | |

| 7. As a CEAB member, were you trained on how to complete your role in the CEAB accreditation process? |
|---|
| * |
| Yes |
| Partially |
| O No |
| Please comment, particularly if you selected partially or no. |
| |
| (untitled) |

| 8. In your experience, has the implementation of the CEAB accreditation process been consistent with the values and ethics of the engineering profession? (e.g., act professionally, manage conflicts of interest, respect your scope of practice, show your work) |
|--|
| * |
| o Yes |
| © Partially |
| O No |
| Please comment, particularly if you selected partially or no. |
| |
| |
| |

| 9. Overall, do you trust the CEAB accreditation system's assessment of engineering programs? |
|--|
| * |
| o Yes |
| Partially |
| o No |
| Please comment, particularly if you selected partially or no. |
| |
| |
| |

| 10. In your role as a CEAB member, were you provided with the tools/supports from the CEAB that you needed for your accreditation role? |
|---|
| * |
| o Yes |
| Partially |
| O No |
| |
| If you responded 'no', what tools/supports did you need? |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| 11. From your perspective, does the CEAB accreditation process represent an efficient design, where the time and resources you invested were worthwhile? |
|--|
| * |
| o Yes |
| Partially |
| O No |
| Please comment, particularly if you selected partially or no. |
| |
| 12. Please describe any significant POSITIVE outcomes of the CEAB accreditation process. |
| |
| |

| 13. Please describe any significant NEGATIVE outcomes of the CEAB accreditation process. | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 14. Please provide any additional comments or ideas you would like to share with us about the CEAB accreditation system, including but not limited to comments on visit documents such as the Questionnaire, the visit process, or schedule; advice provided by Engineers Canada staff or the visiting team chair; and this feedback process. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |