## **CEAB Accreditation Feedback - HEI Post-Decision**

## (untitled)

*		*		If you responded yes, what
	Yes	Partially	No	obstacle(s) did you encounter at your institution?
1. Has the CEAB accreditation process posed an obstacle to innovative and adaptive change for engineering education programs at your institution?	С	C	C	

		*		Comment, including describing the actions
	Yes	Partially	No	accreditation lead to (e.g., changes to capstone, increasing internships, course assessment changes, admission changes):
2. Did the CEAB accreditation process lead to specific actions to enhance the quality of the engineering education program?	О	C	О	

*				
	*			Please comment, particularly
	Yes	Partially	No	if selected partially or no.
3. If asked, could you describe the steps in the CEAB decision-making process for accreditation status?	О	O	0	

Was the visiting team's approach to applying CEAB accreditation criteria ... \* Please comment, particularly Not if selected partially or no Yes Partially No Unknown applicable 4a. Consistent across engineering programs 0 0 0 0 0 on this visit (if there were multiple programs)? 4b. Consistent with previous visits you have 0 0 0 0 experienced (if you participated in any visit in the

past)?

When changes to CEAB accreditation criteria or procedures have been considered... \*

		*			Please comment, particularly		
	Yes	Partially	No	Not applicable	if selected partially or no.		
5a. Did you feel consulted?	O	O	0	O			
5b. Did you have an opportunity to provide feedback on proposals?	O	O	О	0			
5c. If you provided feedback, did you feel your feedback was considered?	O	o	0	O			
5d. Were you informed when change was implemented?	O	c	О	c			

	*			Please comment, particularly
	Yes	Partially	No	if selected partially or no.
6. Were the process and results of the accreditation visit aligned with your understanding of CEAB accreditation criteria?	O	O	О	
7. In your experience, has the implementation of the CEAB accreditation process been consistent with the values and ethics of the engineering profession? (e.g., act professionally, manage conflicts of interest, respect your scope of practice, show your work)	0	O	0	
8. Overall, do you trust the CEAB accreditation system's assessment of engineering education programs?	0	O	0	

*				
	*			Please comment, particularly
	Yes	Partially	No	if selected partially or no.
9. In your role as a HEI dean or designated official or program lead, were you provided with the tools/supports from the CEAB that you needed for your accreditation role? If you responded 'no', what tools/supports did you need?	0	O	O	
10. From your perspective, does the CEAB accreditation process represent an efficient design, where the time and resources you invested were worthwhile?	0	0	О	
11. Please describe any sign	ificar	nt POSIT	ΓIVE	outcomes of the CEAB
accreditation process.				
12. Please describe any sign accreditation process.	ificar	nt NEGA	TIV	E outcomes of the CEAB

13. Please provide any additional comments or ideas you would like to share with us about the CEAB accreditation system, including but not							
limited to comments on visit documents such as the questionnaire, the							
visit process, or schedule; advice provided by Engineers Canada staff							
or the visiting team chair; and this feedback process.							