

# CEAB Accreditation Feedback - Program Visitor

(untitled)

---

	*		Please comment, particularly if you selected no.
	Yes	No	
1. Do you have sufficient access to the CEAB accreditation criteria?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>

	*			Please comment, particularly if you selected partially or no.
	Yes	Partially	No	
2. Did students have the opportunity to provide feedback on the engineering program during the CEAB accreditation process?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>

	*			Please comment, particularly if you selected partially or no.
	Yes	Partially	No	
3. Were the timelines for the accreditation process provided by CEAB clear?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>
4. Were CEAB requirements for the materials that HEIs prepare for the visiting team transparent?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>
5. Were the criteria used in the CEAB accreditation process transparent?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>
6. If asked, could you describe the steps in the CEAB decision-making process for accreditation status?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>

If you were asked, could you describe the following roles and responsibilities in the CEAB accreditation process?

	*			Please comment, particularly if you selected partially or no.
	Yes	Partially	No	
7a. HEI deans or designated official	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>
7b. HEI program leads	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>
7c. CEAB program visitors	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>
7d. CEAB general visitors	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>
7e. CEAB visiting team chairs	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>
7f. Regulators	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>
7g. Students	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>
7h. Canadian Engineering Accreditation Board (CEAB)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>
7i. Engineers Canada Board	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>

Was the visiting team's approach to applying CEAB accreditation criteria ...

	*				Please comment, particularly if you selected partially or no.
	Yes	Partially	No	Unknown	
8a. Consistent across engineering programs on this visit?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>
8b. Consistent with previous visits you have experienced?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>

When changes to CEAB accreditation criteria or procedures have been considered...

	*				Please comment, particularly if you selected partially or no.
	Yes	Partially	No	Not applicable	
9a. If you provided feedback, did you feel your feedback was considered?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>
9b. Were you informed when change was implemented?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>

Did you receive sufficient coaching from the CEAB accreditation visiting team chair...

	*				Please comment, particularly if you selected partially or no.
	Yes	Partially	No	Not applicable	
10a. Leading up to the visit?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>
10b. On-site?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>

	*			Please comment, particularly if you selected partially or no.
	Yes	Partially	No	
11. Were the accreditation processes, up to and including the visit, aligned with your understanding of CEAB accreditation criteria?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>
12. As a program visitor, were you trained on how to complete your role in the CEAB accreditation process?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>
13. In your interactions, did you feel that the visiting team had the skills, knowledge, and ability to complete their role?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>
14. In your experience, has the implementation of the CEAB accreditation process been consistent with the values and ethics of the engineering profession? (e.g., act professionally, manage conflicts of interest, respect your scope of practice, show your work)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>
15. Overall, do you trust the CEAB accreditation system's assessment of engineering programs?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>

	*			Please comment, particularly if you selected partially or no.
	Yes	Partially	No	
16. Was the Complete Questionnaire (including Exhibit 1 and Excel files) designed by CEAB in a way that should make it efficient for visiting team members to review?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>
17. Did the visiting team make efficient use of provided information and time on site?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>
18. Did the visit schedule include the right amount of time with the right people?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>
19. Was the visiting team provided with the information they needed to efficiently assess the engineering program by the HEI?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>
20. Was the visiting team provided with the information they needed to efficiently assess the engineering program by the CEAB Secretariat?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>
21. In your role as a program visitor, were you provided with the tools you needed for your accreditation role?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>
22. From your perspective, does the CEAB accreditation process represent an efficient design, where the time and resources you invested were worthwhile?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>

23. Please describe any significant POSITIVE outcomes of the CEAB accreditation process.

24. Please describe any significant NEGATIVE outcomes of the CEAB accreditation process.

25. Please provide any additional comments or ideas you would like to share with us about the CEAB accreditation system, including but not limited to comments on visit documents such as the Questionnaire, the visit process, or schedule; advice provided by Engineers Canada staff or the visiting team chair; and this feedback process.