

Minutes of the Governance Review Task Force meeting

April 23, 2025 | 11:00am – 12:30pm ET | Virtual meeting

Committee members in attendance	
Christian Bellini Sophie Larivière-Mantha Jennifer Quaglietta	Darlene Spracklin-Reid John Van der Put Mike Wrinch
Guests	
Kathryn Cosgrove, Cosgrove & Co	Amar Unadkat, Cosgrove & Co
Staff and support	
Joan Bard Miller, Manager, Governance and Board Services Light Go, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary	Mélanie Ouellette, Manager, Strategic and Operational Planning

1. Call to order and approval of agenda

C. Bellini welcomed everyone and called the meeting to order at 11:02 a.m. ET.

Moved and seconded

THAT the agenda be approved, and the chair be authorized to modify the order of discussion.

Carried

2. Declaration of conflict of interest

S. Larivière-Mantha noted a perceived conflict given her work with a member of the Cosgrove & Co. team in another setting.

3. Last meeting review

3.1 Approval of minutes

No comments or questions were received.

Moved and seconded

THAT the minutes of the March 14, 2025 meeting be approved.

Carried

3.2 Review of action table

No comments or questions were received.

4. Introductions / roles & responsibilities

J. Bard Miller invited members of the consulting team and the Governance Review Task Force to introduce themselves.

5. Project overview

K. Cosgrove provided an overview of the project and consultation plans for the governance review and solicited suggestions for the comparator analysis.

A discussion ensued in which the Governance Review Task Force suggested that:

- The comparator analysis include organizations with a federal composition and comparable engineering bodies from other countries;
- Extra consultations and updates to councils be planned to achieve consensus; and
- Consideration be given to consulting with sister organization, Geoscientists Canada.

6. Other business

There was no other business.

7. Next task force meetings (proposed)

It was noted that polling is underway for future meeting dates. Tentative dates are outlined in the draft work plan.

8. Meeting evaluation

With a view to continuous improvement, the task force conducted a roundtable meeting evaluation.

9. Closing

With no further business to discuss, the meeting closed at 12:27pm ET.